

**Marietta Area Service Committee of NA
Meeting Minutes
June 1st, 2019**

Next Meeting Sunday, June 30th, 2019 @ 2:00 PM

Ridgeview Conference Center

P.R. Subcommittee meeting is held @ 1:00 PM

WSR Subcommittee meeting is held @ 1:00 PM

H & I subcommittee meeting is held @ 12:00 PM

Quorum calculated to be 15 groups - Quorum met @ 2:12PM

The Purpose of the Area was read by Jan L.

The 12 Traditions were read by Felix L.

The 12 Concepts were read by Jonathan M.

Motion to accept March minutes: Austin B.

Motion Seconded: Chris E.

Previous month's minutes were moved to acclimation: Austin B.

Motion seconded: Christian J.

<u>Group</u>	<u>GSR</u>	<u>Attendance</u>	<u>Comments</u>
Addicts in the Attic	Asa	Present	
AMNA	Erin	Present	
Another Chance	Megan	Present	
Architects of Adversity	Lyz	Present	
Back to the Basics	Jerry	Present	
Dopeless Hope Fiends	Max	X	
Easy Does It	Scott	Present*	
End of the Road	Chris	Present	
Hold On to Hope	Sheila	Present	
It's Never Too Late	Saleem	Present*	
Just for Sunday	Melissa	Present	
Ladies Night	Julie	Present*	
The Lie is Dead	Big John	X	
Living the Program	Tom	Present	
Lost Dreams Awaken	Tim	Present	
Mimosa	Bailey	Present	
NA at Noon	Alvin	Present	
A New Way of Life	Sid	X	
On the Right Track	Andy	Present	
The Rose Group	Marcia	Present	
Serenity on the Square	Richard	Present	
Spiritual Awakenings	Rachel	Present	
Surrender on Sunday	Austin	Present	
Unity Group	Jocho	Present	
Warriors in Recovery	Brian	Present	
We Group	Susan	Present	
We Recover Together	Alex	Present	
YANA	Keith	Present	

**Came in Late, does not count towards total. **First meeting back, does not count towards quorum.*

Homegroups Removed:

- The following groups will be removed from the Attendance/Quorum list due to two consecutive absences:
 - None

Homegroups Added:

- The following groups will be counted towards Quorum with one more consecutive meeting:
 - It's Never Too Late

MASC Admin & Subcommittee Roll Call

Position	Name	Attendance	Report
Chair	Jody	Present	N/A
Vice Chair	Katey	Present	N/A
Treasurer	Dez	Present	Attached
Alt. Treasurer	Zack	Absent w/ Notice	N/A
Secretary	Susan	Present	N/A
Alt. Secretary	Chris	Present	N/A
RCM	Rodney	Absent	N/A
RCMA	Stephen	Present	N/A
Policy Parliamentarian	Tony	Present	N/A
Lit Distribution	Mary	Present	Written
Alt. Lit Distribution	Robyn	Absent	N/A
Activities Chair	Vacant	N/A	Written
H&I Chair	Geoff	Absent	Written
PR Chair	Billie	Present	Written
WSR	Nicole	Present	Written
24hr Room Chair	Joe B.	N/A	Written

- Open Forum: 2:16pm - 3:08pm

Lyz F: Addict named Lyz. Hey, I had a question. I didn't know if anybody, any GSRs knew where this survey came from? I wasn't here last year, or last area, and I just wanted to know where this survey, like did it come out of NA literature, NA World Services, like what?

Katey G: It comes out of World Services, yeah. Yeah, if you Google like "NA Area Inventory" there's a NA World Service thing.

Lyz F: Yeah like I tried looking on our NA World Service website, I don't think I was incompetent or I couldn't find something that, you know...

Katey G: Yeah, if you put in like "NA Area Inventory" it literally pulls up the NAWS document and then the inventory is a, what do you call it, an addendum at the end of that document.

Lyz F: Like a PDF file?

Katey G: Yeah, it's like an addendum to the whole thing that came out of World around doing an area inventory.

Lyz F: And did we, my homegroup wanted to know, I don't if you could tell me, was there like instructions given? Because there's some GSRs and GSRA's here that have

like no idea what some of those questions meant. What, like, what this is applying to, I think that's why somebody asked for an extension on the survey being turned in because it was just really confusing, it wasn't like clean cut, and so a couple of people including my homegroup wanted to suggest that we maybe take the group inventory out of the Guiding Principles book instead of using something that's so broad spectrum and wasn't explained really in our area last month.

Katey G: Okay.

Melissa P: Did you have a motion for that, or...

Austin B: Yeah, if you wanted to adopt it you'd need to put the motion in now.

Stephen R: I'm Stephen and I'm an addict. I'm just clarifying, were you asking where this came from and why we're doing it as well?

Lyz F: Well I just wanted to know because I wasn't here last area and I tried talking to the GSRA that filled in for me from my homegroup and I tried talking to Julie about it, like I tried to get, I talked to other people, and from what I understand it was not explained like "This is what we're doing, this is how we're going to do it," so that when it went back to the groups there was a lot of confusion and questions, where the survey came from, like it just wasn't... it was just like "Here's this piece of paper, look at these questions, fill it out and bring it back to us and we're just going to tally up the points and we'll see how we did." Like there was just not enough explanation as to, you know what I mean, like what we were supposed to be answering these questions based off of, like the area, like, everybody sitting in this circle right now or like our area service panel, or our subcommittees or... and like who is the community? Like it wasn't explained to some newer members, you know what I mean, so it was like, there was a lot of speculation. Correct. It was very vague and some of the questions were redundant, extremely redundant, you know?

Rachel T: I'm Rachel, I'm an addict. So my group also had some concerns about the inventory and we as a group chose not participate in it in that manner, because I think kind of generally our feeling was that basically like putting a qualitative value, or quantitative value, I'm sorry, on these like questions wasn't really going to like, be effective. That's how we felt, and so our, yeah and it was kind of like confusing and some of the homegroup couldn't speak to some of the questions, like especially about like the region and world section, and so as a group we kind of like, instead of going through it and answering each question on the inventory like for each section, we kind of just like gave feedback about, overall feedback about that section and then where there were concerns we like talked about the specific concerns and I don't know if I should bring those up now, or when.

Jody T: You can if want, I mean it's open forum. If you want to.

Tony M: So just so you guys know, there's a paragraph in policy about what's supposed to happen in the event of an area inventory, and I can read it real quick for the way it's supposed to happen if you guys want.

Rachel T: Is that like with the chair organizing a meeting?

Tony M: Well, in Article 9 it actually defines how you're supposed to approach an inventory of our area in our policy. It says "Any GSR or ASC trusted servant can make a motion for an Area inventory. A simple majority is required to pass." So do we actually have the majority? Then it says, "If the motion passes, a brief report stating the perceived need for an Area inventory will be included in the minutes. The ASC Chair will organize an inventory within sixty days. The ASC Chair will also chair the inventory or appoint someone else to do so. There is usually a follow-up meeting, scheduled at the inventory, to assess the situation. For attendance purposes, the Area inventory will count as an ASC meeting."

Katey G: Correct. Yeah, we did that. Yeah, that was read when it came up during that time.

Lyz F: So there was a motion and we voted to do it?

Katey G: Yeah, this, I think it was like two areas ago?

Jody T: Yeah, I think so, it was what Rodney brought up about the West Georgia Area forming and then wanted to, we made the motion to do this based off of if we were serving the needs of the area well.

Katey G: Yeah, we read all that out and it was presented to the area and the area voted, so my apologies though if it wasn't fully explained. What we did was we went ahead and did the same exact thing using the same exact form as four years ago, I believe that's what we stated to the area that we were going to do, but, you know it sounds like it would have been more effective if we had formed an ad hoc committee and sent something back to the groups. I think our concern was that once it was called for, it does state in policy it needs to be done in 60 days, so we just got moving on what had been done previously.

Jody T: Didn't you read that it was recommended that the administrative panel be the ones who carry it out, not even necessarily form an ad hoc committee.

Katey G: Right, so we reached out to the administrative panel, but, you know, I understand the Guiding Principles book has come out with a new way to do it and that is something that could have been floored, like we said, we went with, you know, what we did a few years ago and what we presented was our understanding of how we were to handle it.

Jody T: And I think there was a general consensus around that being the way.

Katey G: Yeah, but, if you guys wanted to make a motion, that's fine, I think the concern was that, the idea was that technically the outgoing chair was supposed to handle it so obviously if this keeps getting pushed off it'll be on the new people to handle it, but that's fine too, I mean whatever the area wants, I mean that's up to you guys.

Rachel T: Is there going to be like, is there going to be like a discussion following like the results of the inventory?

Katey G: So what we did last time was the ad hoc committee around the inventory, which is, it's supposed to be created out of the people who serve the area and who wants to participate so the treasurer, lit, secretary, chair, vice-chair, RCM, you know

what I mean, like your panel, yeah the executive panel. Whoever wants, like whoever's willing to participate in that, what we did last time, I think it was me, Joe and Jody, we got together, we compiled and came up with, you know "Okay, 25 groups put in the inventory, it ended up being about a 3 for this question," so whichever questions there were concerns around, we then brought back and discussed within the area, we brought it back and it was gone through as an area as part of the area inventory if that makes sense?

Rachel T: So part of what our group understood was that it was kind of supposed to be like a separate, the inventory was supposed to be like a separate meeting, perhaps an ad hoc committee or whatever composed like a written inventory.

Katey G: Well, what it was was that the inventory goes out to the groups, they bring it back to the ad hoc committee, the committee compiles everything so we don't, you know what I mean, so we're not spending more time on it, and then we come in and discuss everything, like "Hey guys, most of the groups felt like this was at a 3, how does the area feel about that," you know what I mean? That kind of thing, so it's not, so that was kind of to expedite everything. But again, however you guys want to do stuff, like it's your area.

Lyz F: When you say "How does the area feel about that," meaning like the panel? The GSRs?

Katey G: No, like the-

Lyz F: I mean we told you how we felt about it.

Katey G: Right, so these responses. So 25 groups responded, 20 groups said we were at a 3, discuss. Like that's all it is. You know what I mean?

Lyz F: And so are we going to do that like, here?

Katey G: I mean, make a motion, whatever you guys want?

Jody T: So the plan was to do this before, like at 2:00 on the area slot, so like, you wouldn't have to come in at a different time or extra time or something like that.

Megan S: I guess with all the people that have been talking and kind of sharing like, what is the understanding of the conversation that just took place for the last 20 minutes, like I know people shared their opinion, but what are we coming to from it?

Stephen R: Stephen, addict. Talking to a couple GSRs and listening here it sounds like a couple groups either just did not participate or are going to ask for an extension regardless, so why don't we just kind of like get that going, where we just get the extension going so we can kind of like get it to completion later?

Chris E: I'm Chris, addict. So some clarity on some of the questions. Alright, so my homegroup did it, but there were some ones that we just kind of bulldozed through because we weren't real clear so we chose to like abstain on some of the questions. And that doesn't mean we should just go ahead and do it now because, you know what I mean? I mean it was, you know, whatever, the groups as a whole, want right? I think it's a good thing, but if it gets extended because people weren't clear then we should get some clarification on what wasn't clear.

Jody T: Yeah, I was going to say, if you want to bring up what questions you were having trouble with you could definitely do that too.

Chris E: Well, I think there's some motions on the floor regarding it, or that are going to be brought forward so that may eliminate some of what we're just going over and over and over what may become moot anyway if we get an extension or decide to revisit this inventory, just is an idea.

Katey G: Yeah, exactly. Okay.

Lyz F: Addict named Lyz. So, you asked what questions people had confusion about or what some of the questions we didn't have understanding with and I can kind of point that off for Architects individually. Also, kind of the feedback that I got in response to the inventory at first was this is a "How well are we doing," good or bad, black or white, not tradition-based survey, basically. And so, some of the questions "How effectively does the area communicate with region and NA World Services?" So "the area communicates," meaning the service panel? Us?

Austin B: The RCM.

Jody T: Probably the... I would assume that means the RCM.

Lyz F: The subcommittees? The area as a whole? Like what do you mean, like who, I mean we can all communicate with World Services at any given point in the day or time, like what do you mean "the area". Like does the area communicate with world services and region, or does our RCM?

Austin B: My name's Austin, I'm an addict. So the RCM is who represents our area to World and Region, so our RCM is the one who's going to bring our message forward, so if it's regarding how well do we communicate, it's talking about our RCM bringing our voice-

Lyz F: Right, so that would be us individually taking that representative's inventory, it's not the area as a whole, the question says the area. Because that's the person we elected to send right? That's the confusion that people had, they were like "Wait, does Rodney go?" Does anyone else from this area go, not the RCM or the RCMA, does like a GSR or GSRA go and see how well they represent or do we just trust it and then he brings back the information. We can't really scale that on a not at all, adequate, excellent, you know what I mean?

Austin B: Yeah, if you didn't have any experience with it you could just put not applicable or leave it blank.

Lyz F: "How effectively does the area use resources (such as written materials or experience from other trusted servants) that are available through the region and/or NA World Services?" Meaning what? Like how well do we use our Basic Text to go, like what does that mean? A lot of people didn't understand what that question meant. How effectively do we use our resources, I mean I would hope, first of all, the autonomy of all of our homegroups in here, but I would hope that we all have literature that we're giving to newcomers or people coming in that need it. I mean we have a lit chair here for that reason, but how do you scale that on a not at all, adequate, excellent, I mean it was just

very...

Katey G: My thoughts around that one for example would be, for example we had issues come up with activities and the area decided to write to the World Board and bring back the World Board response so in that situation I would say when we felt like we needed to reach out to the World Board I do think this area is really good about doing that, I mean, like that's how I would interpret that but I can understand how a lot of things can be open to interpretation, but that would be my thought, when you have concerns I think the administrative, or whoever has been fantastic about emailing World and being like "Hey guys, this came up last time and just so you know, this is the response I got from World," you know, like, but that's just my interpretation of that, but I get what you're saying. Yeah, it's confusing, I understand, and we weren't explicit and maybe we should have sent it out and then reviewed it all here first and then sent it back to homegroups like it sounds like that was what the issue was so now we know for this time or next time and we can redo it, like that's okay. Julie did you have something? Sorry.

Julie N: Julie, addict. I mean not a solution, I just feel like a lot of these questions, and we can sit here and go through every question on here that people are confused about but I think a lot of them are unclear. I think the only way to honestly take an inventory of our area is to revisit this and then bring it back. Like there's a lot of questions that you can't clearly answer, like "How positively is a sense of unity shown within local groups?" Like what groups are we talking about? I think it's really good that we do take an inventory but I think the only way to effectively do that is to do it together here and not have like one through five as answers, look to get real answers back. I think this is going to take a little bit more time than just handing it out and coming back to the next area with it.

Jody T: So the problem with the just doing it here is the homegroups don't have a say. The whole point of taking this back is so the homegroups can input.

Julie N: No, I'm saying take it back, I'm not saying don't take it back.

Katey G: That's what we do, it's just that apparently people weren't able to answer the questions, you know what I mean? Like that's the point, it goes back to the groups, we figure it out and then it comes back to the area for an inventory. It's not we take it and make some changes based on that, so that was, pretty much what you're saying is what the plan was moving forward with it, but it sounds like the groups don't feel they were able to participate so that's fine, we'll go ahead and do motions around it. I think that's great, I think it's great that the groups, you know, are cool being like "Hey, we didn't understand this, let's do something else." That's awesome.

Jody T: Yeah. Alright Megan you had your hand up, then Susan.

Megan S: The only, well between 3 - Adequate and 5 - Excellent and 4 - Outstanding, we felt like those were kind of the same thing and there wasn't just like a good, like you're either okay or you're amazing and so we just, like can we make number 4 good? Or do something that's in between outstanding and okay?

Jody T: Well, you could ask the body but I don't have an opinion, I would ask the body. But that's definitely something we can address.

Susan O: I'm an addict named Susan. So if the body votes today to do an extension on the inventory, does anybody have a suggestion on what a process would be before it goes back to the groups for a conversation that there's more clarification on the questions?

Chris E: Well, we take some time and do it. If that's what everybody decides and that's what comes down then we need to sit down here and go over what's not clear, get some clarification and take it to the groups.

Susan O: Meaning another meeting of the GSRs and the administrative subcommittee that clarifies the questions so that all the GSRs understand what the conversation is, is that what you're suggesting?

Chris E: Yes, because if we just send it out, well let's face it, not everybody understands written information the same way, I mean it needs to be...

Jody T: Like it needs to be discussed here?

Chris E: Yeah, because it's going to get long and drawn out but if there's a concern that needs to be dealt with then we need to take the time to do it.

Melissa P: So I have a proposal. First of all, not all the groups got this in time for their business meetings, so I think today is a wash because my homegroup hasn't received the form so I think we need at least a month away so that all the groups can review the form in their business meetings, then the GSRs should bring back questions from their homegroups and we should have a dedicated time period, time boxed to discuss this and get the questions answered and then we take a straw poll or something at the end of that session and say "Do you feel comfortable with taking this back to your homegroups again?" Is that going to take a few months? Yeah. Might we get more useful answers? I think so.

Jody T: Lonnie, you had your hand up?

Lonnie: NA at Noon.

Lyz F: Was it voted on as a service body as a whole that we do this particular inventory?

Chris E: We voted to do it.

Lyz F: No, like this. Who picked this one specifically for us? Was it you?

Austin B: NA World Services.

Jody T: We've just been using that in this area, so we, last time there was an area inventory that was the form we used and-

Lyz F: And last time was there as much confusion?

Jody T: Not at all, no, it was that exact form and everyone brought it back immediately and just did it, so it's just different. It's just different this time.

Katey G: I take full responsibility for that, it was called for an inventory, my assumption was that we do the inventory the same way we did it the last time, so I take full responsibility for that. That was a World Service form, I understand now that there is a

new form to do it, so we can...

Megan S: Someone asked about the other form, the one that you guys are talking about that's in the Guiding Principles is that one maybe, like can we see what that one looks like and maybe that one's a little bit more clearer?

Jody T: I was actually not even aware that there was anything...

Lyz F: Yeah, there's a form for a group inventory in Guiding Principles on page 72-

Katey G: A group or an area inventory?

Lyz F: Groups, homegroups, which makes up our area.

Katey G: No, I understand. I just wasn't sure if it was...

Megan S: Well I don't know about using a group one for area because we use a group one for the group, right?

Katey G: So you guys have asked for something where an ad hoc committee gets created, so it sounds like stuff is coming back next month so how about the panel can check out both and we will come back, you know. I meant the panel, sorry I didn't mean to say ad hoc, I meant the panel, who is in policy does the inventory. I guess the other problem is that it is in there that this gets addressed within 60 days by the current chair, so that was part of it, you know what I mean, but that's fine.

Chris E: Every effort was made to get it addressed, but it didn't work out, so.

Katey G: Yeah, so that was part of it, we were just trying to fulfill what's in policy.

Austin B: My name's Austin, I'm an addict. Who gave out the meeting schedules, I didn't get any.

Katey G: Meeting schedules is PR.

Austin B: Oh, Billie? Thank you.

Katey G: Alright, anything else for open forum?

Susan O: Actually, my question is about the inventory, is that okay?

Katey G: Yeah, guys can we like, keep it down?

Susan O: I just have one question about the area inventory, just trying to get real clarification. So, after groups, after we get clarification for the groups and everyone has been able to have the time to participate with their groups, is the plan then that a separate meeting of the administrative subcommittee happens to compile the results and then that gets shared back with the area?

Katey G: That's, I mean that's how it's directed from what we've done previously and everything, so... I mean my assumption is yes but I guess we'll see what the motions say and we'll go from there.

Susan O: Okay, thank you for clarifying.

Katey G: Okay, so, wait, didn't someone else, it was Jan?

Jan L: Grateful recovering addict named Jan. I read, there's a World Bulletin, and I think that might be part of the literature that it mentions in one of those questions about like fundraising and stuff. So, I happened to read that because I'm stupid like that, and one of the thing it mentions is raffles and how it's illegal in many states. So I looked up what the rules are for Georgia and so in Georgia [to do a raffle], you have to be a non-profit,

you have to get a license, like there's a whole bunch of things you have to do in order to legally do a raffle. If not, it's considered illegal commercial gambling, which is a felony, which carries a 1-5 year sentence and up to \$10,000-\$20,000 fine. Now, I don't expect like, you know, the police to show up but I know, one, as 24 Hour Room, we have done raffles, which, you know, until further notice we're not going to do, but I also know that for example GRCNA does raffles and so I think we should at least, you know, ask like if they're, you know, adhering to state law or breaking said law.

Katey G: Is that for like 50/50 financial, or is that for like "I'm raffling off a mug for \$4,"?

Jan L: It's any raffle.

Katey G: Okay, so any raffle. I mean, I know with NA they also discourage a lot of the financial stuff because obviously we don't really want to advocate gambling at our stuff and trigger people.

Jan L: Yeah, but that's one thing, spirituality, you know, of doing a raffle. Now I'm talking about like strictly, like, legal.

Jody T: Jan, thank you for bringing that up.

Alvin: Yeah I just have a question, just kind of regarding that. Under the World, are we under their 401(c)(3)* (***Point of Information:** Narcotics Anonymous's tax deductibility code is 501(c)(3)) that makes us a charitable non-profit? So, under the World contract, it's not covered in Georgia that we're, that they don't recognize NA World? It's just a question.

Jan L: I mean, I would imagine that under World we would get recognized as a non-profit under that umbrella, but so in order to legally do a raffle, you have to apply for a license in the county where you have your headquarters.

Asa: That is true.

Jan L: And your license has to have like, your application has to have like the names of everybody who's going to be involved, like there's a whole bunch of rules. And so, if you don't do any of that, or not do some of it, like let's say we're considered under that umbrella as a not for profit, tax-exempt organization, but you don't get the license you're still breaking the law. And so, we should, I feel, you know because this does affect NA as a whole, ask GRCNA if they're, you know, doing what they're supposed to do.

Katey G: There may be people here who can speak to that. Lonnie?

Lonnie: I've got an order here with no name.

Asa: My name's Asa and I'm an addict. Yeah, uh we actually looked into that, we actually, on the regional floor, we asked the RCMs whether to do a 50/50 raffle and what the consensus was with that, and they said do not do a 50/50 raffle. It's simple, easy-peasy, you know, and all that stuff but not to. We also looked into what you're talking about, getting licensed, and then, you know, with the region we'd have to do every county because we have, you know, quite honestly we don't do that. We don't do that. And what we raffle is inside our fellowship you know, it's not an outside thing, and I understand we have to take account of also though that if we do apply for that, it goes for the entire year. It's not just this raffle. It's this organization for a year in that county or

municipality.

Jan L: Well, you only have to do it for one county though, even if you're active in multiple, you only have to apply in one. But yeah, it is for a whole year.

Asa: We were under the impression that because we were having it in this county and in this county and in this county, we'd have to do it in those specific ones.

Jan L: No, not, according from what I read, you'd have to, if your organization is located in more than one county it only needs to obtain a license in the county in which its state headquarters is located. So whatever, you know.

Asa: Thank you, I'll present that to the board of GRCNA and let them know.

Jody T: Alright does anyone have anything else for open forum?

Alvin: Yeah, I just had a question, I didn't know if you were going to have a policy report today or not, but what I wanted to know, is it possible to get a copy of the policy of this area?

Tony M: Yeah, the initial copy comes out in the minutes and everything's in there, as far as having an actual hard copy of it, we're actually going to have one printed out for every GSR, so. I update it as it happens, and so when it comes out in the minutes, it's an updated individual copy.

Alvin: Yeah, I'm not talking about the updated one, I'm talking about the hard copy.

Tony M: You'll get them next month.

Jody T: Do we have anything else for open forum guys? Okay so, what I wanted to ask, we have motions coming in about the inventory right?

Susan O: We have one motion, to postpone the, to give the groups, the motion is an additional month to submit the survey.

Jody T: Okay, so as of right now guys, as related to this area inventory we have one motion which is to just delay this and give you guys an extra month. If there is more you want to do, like if you want to do a different inventory, or if you want to go over...

Jan L: Take a straw poll.

Jody T: I'm not doing that again.

Jan L: Do we not want to go with the one that we had? Did we want to come up with a new one, take a straw poll, just ask.

Katey G: If the motion passed to give an extra month that would mean the GSRs want to go with this inventory, correct?

Megan S: Well, wait, I made that motion before we had this discussion-

Jody T: That's why I was bringing this up, because I don't know if you want to amend that, change it, if there is a general idea that you guys want to keep this inventory and go over it with each other or if you want to do a new set of questions altogether, like it seems like there was a lot of confusion and a lot of ideas. Joe go ahead.

Joe B: Didn't this body already vote on doing this inventory?

Megan S: No, they voted on doing an inventory, not this particular inventory.

Joe B: Yeah, on this one. We voted on it last month.

Jody T: So this is the inventory we've historically done in this area.

Joe B: Yeah, but I mean we voted last month to do this inventory, correct? Did the GSRs not vote on this last month?

Katey G: Well, what she's saying is "an inventory," they didn't know which inventory it was when it went back to groups and that's the problem. We took what we used historically, and apparently we should have brought an inventory to the area and have the area approve it before we sent it back to groups is what we're hearing. People didn't understand it, they don't like this inventory.

Jocho B: I'm an addict named Jocho. So, curious, I mean the vote was that if we decided to do the inventory, the chair chose what to do about that within 60 days, so that's on the chair to choose what inventory to use.

Katey G: Right, but if it's not clear to the groups then we shouldn't use it, but I understand.

Jody T: Right, yeah, well actually Jocho, I see your point and I appreciate that, so as chair I will say I want to make sure the GSRs understand what we're doing. I don't want people confused or don't know what's going on. Yeah, we chose this, I chose this because we've done this before and we haven't had an issue before, but now we have an issue, so, we will address it.

Jocho B: Well, just because initially we gave you that trust to choose how we did it.

Katey G: It says the chair will organize the inventory.

Stephen R: Right, and the inventory itself is not the actual survey, the inventory is the actual event according to our policy, like so, I don't really know anything about what's being said about the survey, but the actual inventory itself is a meeting that needs to happen right now at this ASC. So that's what they meant by the chair will organize it, like plan time, date, location, all that kind of stuff. Not like-

Jocho B: Not like she chooses what survey we use.

Katey G: Well that would still be organizing it. Tony did you have something?

Tony M: Yeah, well, I was just going to say, that the intent for an inventory, right? You know, you guys are getting so wrapped up on the details, like the little packet of questions, but think about the intent, why you're doing an inventory. You know, it's to carry the message. Are we carrying the message effectively? And if the inventory works and you guys answer those, ask those questions, I mean the Guide to Local Service only has three questions when it comes to inventory. How well are you doing with service? How well are you doing with [regional and world services]. And are you supporting the groups? So if the questions asked, if we ask those fundamental questions, I mean I got it, it's not a perfect thing, but it's a spiritual, think about it from the perspective of, "How well are we carrying the message," and "How well are we supporting the groups?" And so if those questions, we ask them, I don't see what the problem, I mean it may not be exactly what you want, but if it does that intent then we've got to come back here and say "Hey, this is what we can do to do things better." You know, is that going to happen? You know, if it doesn't then that means obviously something's wrong. But it's just a thought.

Jody T: Thanks, Tony.

Marcia: I'm Marcia, I'm an addict. I looked at the Guiding Principles and in the 10th, under the 10th Tradition is where they talk about doing the inventory. They talk about doing the group inventory, they talk about doing a member inventory, and the workshop questions for a workshop so the group inventory, I guess you could kind of get some of those questions out of the group inventory questions that Tony was talking about, but they are very, they're more individual group, like Rose Group inventory, that type of thing, instead of area service committee inventory, and how they can better serve the Rose Group and the Region and the World as far as we are concerned as the Rose Group or as the member. But I think it would be worth, as the GSRs, I think it would be worth including this when you do the other one, you could do both. Because it's worth, it's worth talking about and you could add it in the notes too for the next time that we do this.

Tony M: A Guide to Local Service has a recommendation on how to do an area inventory and it's not like very, very specific but one thing it does say is you've got to take your time in doing this. And you know, it talks about different ways to do it, so I would suggest that you guys look at this and see if this actually tells how well we carry the message. It's on page 59 in case you're wondering.

Megan S: In what book?

Tony M: A Guide to Local Service.

Chris B: Tony, I've got a question, is there a way for like, if there's a large enough contingent of groups that want to like, not do this right now, because the way I understand it is that this is part of the business of the day and that we're going to do-

Tony M: Well open forum is going to be open until she closes it, so they have until the end of open forum.

Chris B: No, I'm asking if there's a way for them, I don't know if, where area inventory is going to take place in the order of business, but I like, what I was told was that it was going to take place before New Business when the motion would come up that we don't want to do it, so is there a way for the groups to be like, let's suspend-

Tony M: Practically? Practically you're going to have to almost take a whole area to do this. It's not something that you can do in open forum, it's a done deal. And that's kind of what our policy recommended, as well as the fact that the area inventory should take a whole ASC, as opposed to trying to it as just a small... You're going to get what you get.

Katey G: Well, no, you're right, it goes out then comes back and then it takes us like an hour to go over everything with the GSRs, you know what I mean, but it gives us an idea of the heartbeat of here.

Stephen R: I'll just wrap up with what she was saying. It sounds like the intention of the survey was to get just some thoughts started, some conversations started like your group did, take some notes and then bring it back and then we plan an actual inventory where we discuss all of the concerns that were brought up in your notes, so it sounds like some groups need more time to gather their thoughts, you know. I think the simple

solution is to extend it so we can do that, and then go ahead and like try and get a date, like tentative date to set up for the actual inventory.

Katey G: Yeah so it would be, the surveys would come back and then it would be basically the next area.

Stephen R: Right, so we could communicate and set it up.

Katey G: Right, okay, thank you.

Jan L: Alright, I have a question for the GSR. Of the following three, I have three quick options: One, we go with the survey we have, you know, people that have like questions or are not clear on certain questions get that clarification, we go with that one; two, we come up with a new questionnaire, whoever, the ASC, GSR, ad hoc committee, you know ASC and GSR that are willing, whatever; or three, we don't do a questionnaire, every homegroup, you know, during their business meeting discusses like, these are concerns that I have, I want them to be raised, and these are things that I think we do really well, and then that gets gathered, and then when we do the inventory, those are the, you know, topics that get used as group discussion. Of those three, what do you feel would serve us the best? Who thinks it's option one, using this questionnaire and getting just clarification on the questions that we're confused about.

Marcia: I think it's all three. Like, turn in what you got, and then if you need to go back and discuss it, you want to turn in something that is a little bit more, like, what you, like your option three, and then we do that later. I think it's all of it.

Katey G: Yeah, like this is really to just get things moving forward for the inventory.

Lyz F: I have a question. It was in, Tony you read that out of our policy, right, that we have 60 days to complete it?

Katey G: The chair has 60 days to organize it.

Lyz F: Is there a possibility that we do all three, like we turn it in, because I mean my group filled it out. You know what I mean, it was just like pulling teeth, you know we were talking about what each person thought it meant, "What was the interpretation of that," "Are we talking specifically about the PR Chair or is that the area inventory we're taking now," whatever, you know? But I agree with turning it in now, but is there a way to, hold your breath, change our policy to where, you know, it states that we bring this subject up and then we go through due process of the service body talks about it, we bring it back to our groups, because we're about to go through an election. I mean, our panel's about to change, everything, all GSRs are about to change, the homegroup, it's going to be a whole new service structure that we're now lingering on this, so I mean something maybe needs to change in our policy saying that this needs to happen more frequently or quicker in the area span of time than right before our service structure is about to change.

Stephen R: I think the simple solution to what you're saying is to treat it like a 4th step inventory, if your group had to write it down or bring it up, it's inventory worthy, and then bring it up when we go over it as a group, that kind of thing, as opposed to like, instead of looking at it like we're pulling strings, just whatever you thought was a concern, like in

any facet, write it down, and then bring it up when we have the inventory. As opposed to changing the whole policy and everything like that, just looking at it as a 4th step, except for the f***ing area.

Jody T: So Lyz, as you were saying, so this didn't actually, it's not in policy when we do it, it was just a motion that was brought up, but if that's a policy that you and your group are interested in changing you absolutely can make a motion on it.

Lyz F: No, I just thought that Tony had read that your chair has 60 days to collect it.

Jody T: 60 days to do it from when someone makes the motion. So the timing of it-

Lyz F: Meaning for you to give it to the service body?

Jody T: Yes, so the timing just happened to fall on when we're all going to switch out of our positions, that's just because it happened to be happening when someone placed that motion. I understand what you're saying.

Joe B: I'm an addict named Joe. So, why don't we turn in the survey, go back to our homegroups, get any questions or concerns we have, bring them back, and present all this to our ad hoc committee, and let the committee bring their findings back to the area?

Chris E: Addict named Chris. So, what I kind of said in my homegroup of what I felt like the spirit of it was that this wasn't like the final word or like the report card on how our area was doing. What this was was to seek out where we were lacking and try to do better. You know what I mean? It wasn't like pointing, "Hey it's PR's fault," you know what I mean? None of that was even really the spirit of the inventory. The spirit of the inventory was "How well are we doing as an area, as the homegroups that make up this area of carrying our primary purpose out," you know, and getting the things done that need to happen. So, and where are we lacking, and those areas that we're lacking, how can we do better? It wasn't like a, you know, I mean that's what I got.

Lyz F: Right, but you also, I'm sorry, but you also have to think about when we bring, as a GSR we bring the inventory back to the group, you have to think about the newcomer. The newcomer hasn't worked a 4th step, the newcomer has no idea because they don't show up to area, how are they to perceive, it's really based on, as whole, the GSRs showing up and we're supposed to influence the group. So if we can bring it up collectively together here, like we just talked about, turn this in, talk about it here and then bring that back to our homegroups, which is the highest level of where we serve, instead of us getting this survey from what we've done then taking it back to our groups and our groups being like "What? Okay." I mean a lot of people don't have the self-esteem, self-worth, experience to do this in a healthy, spiritual manner. You know what I mean?

Chris E: Yeah, I agree.

Marcia: And that's why we have to go over it, we have to go over it before we go to our groups.

Lyz F: Correct, so our area body, our GSRs are informed as to what we're bringing. Especially a GSR like me, I've never seen this form so I didn't even know, you know?

Katey G: Again, our experience was, last time, that there was literally no issue around this so based on our previous experience, our understanding was that we could just give this out and ask for them back.

Lyz F: Yeah, I know, I get that, I'm just trying to bring a solution moving forward as our service structure changes, you know?

Katey G: Okay cool, thank you.

Jody T: Guys, I just want to interject, we have 5 minutes left of open forum. Go ahead Julie.

Julie N: Julie, addict. I just have one quick point on what was just being talked about. I think as a whole, we do a lot of things that have worked in the past and we continue to do, and we don't take into consideration that there are new people in here who don't know, like, I don't know s**t about s**t y'all, like I show up, I learn everytime I come, and I don't know what the solution is for that, I'm just putting it out there that we need to find a better way of communicating these things, rather than just expecting everyone to know.

Katey G: But, I mean, I think we've done exactly that, right? I mean we put it out there and now we've learned as an area that it needs to be different. Like I think exactly what you're addressing is exactly what just happened, right, like we put it out there expecting that it would go a certain way and it didn't, and now we know. You know what I mean, like, I would like.

Julie N: Yeah, I know, I'm not even just talking about the survey though, I'm talking about a lot of things in general, I mean I'm just putting it out there.

Katey G: Yeah, I mean we only learn when things don't work right?

Christian J: I'm Christian, addict. So, just so I know, since not every homegroup got this in time, we're not doing anything this month with it.

Jody T: We weren't supposed to do anything this month anyway.

Christian J: We're not even turning in the survey?

Jody T: I mean, you're supposed to turn it in, but you can always get that to us. There's a motion on the floor but you can turn that in later if you need to.

Susan O: If the motion doesn't pass then you can turn in what you have today, if the motion does pass then you'll have more time.

Christian J: Okay.

Jody T: Hi Susan.

Susan O: Addict named Susan. I'm just going to put it out there that, you know, because it'll probably be a little while before we do an area inventory after this, that anyone that wants to take it on, as maybe an investment in our future, anyone that wants to take it on could maybe go find another NA member that has the experience to do this and maybe come forward in the future with a motion to maybe amend our policy so that it's a little more specific about how we will take on this process in the future. I think that anybody that is willing to be of service in that way, and maybe work on the wording of our policy so that next time we have a more clear, because all it says is that

the chair will organize an inventory in 60 days. That's it. So, you know, just a suggestion for anyone that might have the willingness to look into that. Thanks.

Jody T: Alright, 2 minutes. Going once, going twice.

Jan L: GSR Workshop.

Jody T: What?

Jan L: GSR Workshop.

Austin B: I have motion coming up that'll educate GSRs better, it'll be in new business.

Jody T: Do you? When do, I'm sorry, Tony, when do we do the GSR Workshop? Is the incoming?

Katey G: Should be the next area.

Austin B: No, I thought it was the one after that, after the new GSR takes over, right?

Jody T: That's what I can't remember, if it's next area or the one after. Thank you Jan.

Chris B: Does anybody need a copy of the form, the area inventory form? Need or want.

Jody T: We'll get back, we'll let you know.

Jan L: Oh yeah, I just want to make sure [the GSR workshop] happens.

Katey G: No, it's in policy that it happens.

Tony M: Policy states that it's the first area that they attend.

Katey G: I believe it's the first area too. That it would be next month. But I guess the idea is that, because then it would be, because it would depend on whether the outgoing chair hosts it, because if it's before the next area, she would be the one running it, if it's at the end, or at the next area after that, it would be the incoming chair running it.

Chris E: Don't we switch everything?

Katey G: That's what I'm saying, it would get switched in the middle. So my point is that I'm trying to remember if it was that the outgoing chair hosts it before they switch.

Austin B: That sounds right.

Katey G: Doesn't that sound right?

Austin B: Yeah, because they've had a year of experience being chair

Katey G: Yes, so my assumption would be that it would be 2:00 p.m. at the next area and then area would start around.... Right?

Jody T: That makes sense, but it's in policy so we'll know when we find it.

Tony M: Right, well you have the budget workshop where the GSRs go, and that's immediately after the June ASC and that's what everyone's talking about

Katey G: So it's after?

Tony M: It's after.

Jody T: It says in June it will be hosted by outgoing trusted servants, so that sounds like it will be after [area].

Tony M: "The Marietta Area Service Committee will host an annual GSR orientation workshop in June of each year that will be conducted by outgoing trusted servants of the Area." It doesn't specifically say which area, the budget one, though,

does, which policy states happens promptly after the June ASC.

Chris E: I think we did both in one day.

Katey G: But it said that one is after, right?

Tony M: It doesn't really say for the GSR workshop.

Katey G: So I guess it's up to you guys if we do them at the same time or one before and one after. Okay, so, open forum is now officially completed.

- Subcommittee Reports: 3:08pm - 3:31pm
 - Treasurer's Report - Dez J:
 - Report attached
 - Literature Report - Mary W:
 - Took in \$967.30
 - Ordered \$1009.65
 - Includes shipping
 - RCM Report - Stephen R:
 - Region will be the weekend of 6/15 - 6/16
 - H&I Chair/ PR Chair - On 6/15 at 8am, there is a workshop for both committees where you can network with other H&I and PR chairs in the Region. They also do a workshop where you can learn more about how H&I and PR work and how to be more effective in those committees.
 - There is also a RCM and GSR workshop to learn more about those positions
 - This happens in Forsyth - You can get the address from me after the meeting
 - We keep forgetting to donate to Region. We did not make a donation the last time, and if we want to donate, then we need to write a check for the next meeting
 - Activities Report - Katey G:
 - Met today, next meeting on 6/19 at 6:30 pm at Vinings Starbucks
 - Looked at T-shirt and ideas for fall campout
 - Mini-Golf will be held on 6/29 at 4:00 pm at Monster Golf in Kennesaw
 - Flyers for Mini-Golf and campout have been handed out.
 - Requesting \$85 newcomer fund for Mini-Golf.
 - Giving \$105 to area

- Thank you for allowing me to serve
- Nominations
 - Kimi G. - Nominated for Secretary
 - Steffen T. - Nominated for Vice-Chair
 - You will be receiving a nomination for Christian J. as Activities Chair
- **H&I Report - Christian J:**
 - 5 addicts in attendance
 - Discussed Beach Bash (taking place on 7/20/19 at 11:00 am)
 - Passed out flyers to send back to home groups
 - Subcommittee is looking for t-shirt design.
- **PR Report - Billie W:**
 - Nothing new to report.
- **WSR Report - Nicole A:**
 - 6 Members in Attendance
 - **Postal Facilitator Report:**
 - Total Letters Received: 10
 - Total Workbooks Ordered: 1
 - Total Invoices submitted to Treasurer for payment: 1
 - **May Expenses:**
 - Supplies: \$5.38
 - Postage: \$22.00
 - Workbooks: \$15.00
 - Total May Expenses: \$42.38
 - Year to Date Expense Total: \$243.09 out of \$1380.00.
 - Budget Remaining: \$1136.91
 - Today, at our May WSR Subcommittee meeting, the subcommittee received nominations for admin positions. We did not receive nominations for two positions: Assistant Coordinating Secretary and Vice Chair. Louie B has been nominated for WSR chair and his resume is provided below. Other items discussed: The WSR chair has tried several times to contact the Fulton County jail to facilitate a discussion around their recent change that correspondence can only be done via postcards and to determine if an option could be provided to allow WSR to correspond via standard letter. We've tried for over a year and can't contact the jail and H&I doesn't have a contact we can use either, so we are forced to stop corresponding with Fulton County Jail inmates at this point.

- Louis B Service Resume:
 - Clean Date: 4/3/2000
 - NA Sponsor and works 12 steps
 - WSR Postal Facilitator 2017 - 2019
 - 2000 - 2004 Group level service (Home Group: Co-Chair, Chair, Secretary, Public Information, GSR, Treasurer)
 - 2004 - 2007 Group level chair and treasurer (Na at Noon)
 - 2007 - 2012 Group level treasurer (Freedom Group)
 - 2013 - 2014 Group level treasurer (Fresh Start to Freedom)
 - Next Subcommittee Meeting: 7/30/19 @ 1:00pm - 2:00pm @ Ridgeview Day Hospital
 - **24 Hour Room Report - Joe B:**
 - 10 people attended meeting
 - We voted on the design for our next fundraiser's t-shirt and finalized all the details of the fundraiser
 - We have \$1064 in our bank account and \$750 as a prudent reserve
 - Our next fundraiser is 6/22 - Recovery Jam - Milford Baptist Church at 2:00pm
 - We will have hot dogs, soft drinks, chips and other refreshments for sale
 - We have a suggested donation of \$10
 - Our next meeting is this coming Tuesday, 6/4 at Cool Beans
- **Old Business:**
 - None
- **Elections:**
 - None
- **New Business:**
 - **MOTION 5-1-** Additional month to submit Area Survey
 - Maker: Megan S, GSR Another Chance
 - Second: Lyz F, GSR Architects of Adversity
 - Intent: Didn't have enough time to complete with thorough thought, have questions about how the word "area" is to be interpreted. Where is survey from?
 - PROS:
 - Chris E - It gives everyone more time to gain understanding and give a more accurate inventory of what we need to work

on instead of being vague and ambiguous

- Christian J - I know Just for Sunday didn't even get this on time, so this will give them time to actually participate.

■ **CONS:**

- Jerry - This is not a personal concern, but I feel that we should not be penalized for those of us that understood the intent of the Area's survey. That we should go on and turn it in and improve with having an ad hoc committee, and next time we do a survey, we improve with the critiques we have already and what we discussed today in Open Forum. We should follow through with what intended on, because we already voted on as an area, and improve from that.
- Rachel T - If we go on with this inventory in general, I think it's still just going to be kind of the same thing. Even if we get another month to do it, we're still just getting generalized feedback. I don't know if groups are going to have a thorough discussion about each question as opposed to getting specific thoughtful feedback. So going through the inventory is still just not very conclusive feedback.
- Austin B - It's postponing the inevitable, since there's no solution to clarifying anything.

■ 11/3/4

- **MOTION** - Suspend the rules of the day
 - Maker: Chris E, GSR End of the Road
 - Second: Austin B, GSR Surrender on Sunday
 - Intent: To allow nomination of two additional positions
 - Move to acclimation: Marcia, GSR Rose Group
 - Second: Lyz F, GR Architects of Adversity
- Two additional nominations were submitted and service resumes were read
 - Richard G - Alternate Treasurer
 - Julie N - H&I Chair
- **MOTION** - Return to the rules of the day
 - Maker: Austin B, GSR Surrender on Sunday
 - Second: Chris E, GSR End of the Road
 - Intent: To allow nomination of two additional positions
 - Move to acclimation: Lyz F, GR Architects of Adversity
 - Second: Erin J, GSR AM NA

- **MOTION 5-2-** Provide all home groups with a copy of “A Guide to Local Services in NA” to give new GSR’s access
 - Maker: Austin B, GSR Surrender on Sunday
 - Second: Melissa P, GSR Just for Sunday
 - Intent: Better assist new GSR’s in learning about local service and their role as a Group Service Representative
 - POINT OF INFORMATION:
 - This would cost approx. \$217 to supply copies to the 29 groups currently included in the MASC quorum. It does not account for groups in the area that do not participate in the ASC, so they create a gray area.
 - POINT OF INFORMATION:
 - Can be attached to the minutes and distributed to GSRs electronically at no cost
 - PROS:
 - Austin B - A lot of people aren’t knowledgeable about their position, so this would allow every group to provide their GSR with A Guide to Local Service to learn about their position and how things work, rather than just dope-fiend someone into a role without knowing what they’re getting into.
 - Megan S - Sometimes people may not know that it’s out there. Sometimes when you put something in someone’s hands, they might be more likely to read it.
 - CONS:
 - Christian J - We’ll just be spending \$217 and people might not be interested. What our home group did, we had the autonomy and we bought it ourselves. I like the idea, but this is a con of the area spending this money. For example, 20 home groups could not care. As it stands, every group has the autonomy to do this themselves.
 - Dez J - It’s available for free online.
- 2/12/2
- **MOTION 5-3** - H&I is trying to build a relationship with Cobb County to be able to bring meetings in to the jail again. They have requested that we donate literature to give to addicts. I would like to make a motion to donate literature.
 - Maker: Julie N, GSR Ladies Night
 - Second: Lyz F, GSR Architects of Adversity

- Intent: To carry the message of Narcotics Anonymous to those in jail
- **Katey G:** Wouldn't this go through H&I?
- **Julie N:** I have discussed it with H&I and I don't know that anything has been done about it.
- **Jody T:** So you want to direct H&I to do this?
- **Julie N:** Yes.
- **Chris B:** Wouldn't the H&I Subcommittee first use funds out of their budget or request funds from the area?
- **Katey G:** She's asking the area to direct H&I to do it because there hasn't been any movement.
- **Jody T:** So the motion has nothing to do with getting or moving funds or anything like that. The subcommittee is accountable to the area so we can tell them to do that.
- **Chris B:** Fulfilling their primary purpose, we have to tell them do that?
- **Jody T:** Apparently. That's what this motion is.
- **Lyz F:** Can I speak to where it originated? H&I is not in Cobb County jail because we don't have an established relationship with them. The contact that we have at the jail is like, "You don't even have IPs or literature in here, we have AA, we have CA, whatever, and we have no NA literature." And so we don't have a personal relationship with them.
- **Katey G:** So this could be a direction to getting a meeting in there?
- **Lyz F:** Yes.
- **Lonnie W:** We were in Cobb County jail and it took 30 years to get in there. So, it's not going to happen overnight. My experience with H&I, I do Bartow county, I'm the panel leader, and it's really at the discretion of the chair, no matter who we are or what we are. I think doing the free literature donation through PR or H&I, or PR doing a presentation to this new sheriff, he might not know anything about it. So, I think that's where we oughta start, is do a presentation and have the sheriff present.
- **Jody T:** This motion does leave the discretion to H&I, it does just direct them to move forward with it.
- **Lonnie W:** But I know that when we try to get in any new facilities, PR does it first. I'm sure that's in policy somewhere.
- **Chris E:** It's supposed to work hand in hand like here's our message and work together to accomplish our primary purpose in all areas.
- **Lonnie W:** And I think it's not appropriate to donate \$200-\$300 of

literature to this jail and just leave it. I think that should go back to groups to be voted on as a collective whole, not just 15 people, is my opinion.

- **Tony M:** The subcommittees are acting on behalf of what the groups are telling them to do. So, if you have a group that's asking for a subcommittee to do something like that... Currently it's in H&I policy to have literature in facilities they don't have a presence in.
- **Julie N:** I've brought it up a few times. A friend of mine is a counselor in Cobb County jail and she's doing everything she can to help our H&I get in there, and that was a thing she brought up. They need literature, they need something to show that we actually want to engage.
- PROS:
 - N/A
- CONS:
 - Lonnie W - (See above discussion)
- MOTION WITHDRAWN

- **MOTION 5-4** - Attach "A Guide to Local Services" to minutes for May
 - Maker: Austin B, GSR Surrender on Sunday
 - Second: Chris E, GSR End of the Road
 - Intent: Provide GSR's with vital information to their positions
 - PROS:
 - Megan S: It's free and then everyone has access to it.
 - Richard G: It's a pro because it is a vehicle that the area can serve the local groups. Isn't that what the whole survey is about?
 - CONS:
 - None

■ 16/0/1

- Trusted Servant Nominations:

- **Chair: Katey G**

- Service Resume
 - Clean date is 2/10/13
 - Home group is We Recover Together
 - I have an NA sponsor who has an NA sponsor. Working knowledge of steps, traditions and concepts
 - Home group GSRA March 2013-June 2013
 - Home group GSR June 2013-2014

- Home group Treasurer 2015-2018
- H&I Member and Panel Leader - Cobb Stabilization unit 2013-2015
- H&I Member and Panel Leader - Ridgeview 2015-2017
- H&I Lit Chair & Treasurer 2014-2016
- Activities member 2013-present
- Activities Vice Chair 2014-2015
- Activities Chair 2015-2016
- Area Vice Treasurer 2016-2017
- Area Treasurer 2017-2018
- Area Vice Chair 2018-2019
- No misappropriation of funds
- Willingness to serve

○ **Secretary: Chris B**

■ Service Resume

- Clean date is 06/26/17
- I have an NA Sponsor who has an NA Sponsor with whom I am currently working the 12 Steps of Narcotics Anonymous
- My home group is We Recover Together
- I've served as the Chair-person of my homegroup multiple times, I've lead discussions at both my home group and other groups
- Participated in H&I in 2018
- Currently serving as the Alt-Treasurer of We Recover Together
- Served as the MASCNA Alt-Secretary from 2018-2019
- Never misappropriated NA funds
- Willing and grateful to serve

○ **Alternate Secretary: Melissa P.**

■ Service Resume

- Clean date is 9/18/2003
- Current MASCNA position: GSR, Just for Sunday
- Past Positions:
 - North Atlanta Area Secretary (2014-2015)
 - GSR for multiple groups over multiple years
 - Home group secretary for multiple years
- Provided written notice for absence from Area

○ **Treasurer: Felix L.**

■ Service Resume

- I'm an addict named Felix.
- My clean date is 5/15/02.
- I have an NA sponsor and I work NA steps and traditions and concepts.
- I have worked as a Secretary, GSR, Treasurer at the group level
- At the Area level, I have been Secretary, Chair and Vice Chair. I am willing to serve the area where I am needed.
- Thank you for allowing me to serve.

○ **Alternate Treasurer: Richard G**

■ Service Resume

- Clean date 5/6/2015
- Current GSR of my home group
- NA sponsor who has an NA sponsor who has an NA sponsor
- Willingness to serve
- Never misappropriated NA funds

○ **Chair, Public Relations: Chris E.**

■ Service Resume

- Clean date 10/1/16
- GSRA End of the Road 3/15/16-9/30/16
- GSR End of the Road 9/30/16-Present
- I have attended Metro Service Panel meetings for several months (H&I and PR issues and training involving multiple NA areas)
- I have attended PR subcommittee for a few months
- I have been a phone line volunteer for 3 months
- I have an NA sponsor
- I work the 12 steps of NA
- I have working understanding of our 12 traditions and believe in practicing them
- I have never misappropriated NA funds
- Willingness to serve

○ **Chair, Activities: Christian J.**

- Service Resume
 - Clean date is April 27, 2017
 - I am working steps with an NA sponsor
 - I have been GSRA for We Recover Together from 2018-2019
 - I have been Ridgeview H&I Panel Leader since 2018-present
 - I have been an active member of Activities sub-committee for over a year
 - I have never misappropriated NA funds
 - I have never left a NA service position unfulfilled
 - I work NA Traditions with an NA sponsor
 - I am a member of 24 Hour Room

○ **Chair, WSR: Louis B.**

- Service Resume
 - Clean Date: 4/3/2000
 - NA Sponsor and works 12 steps
 - WSR Postal Facilitator 2017 - 2019
 - 2000 - 2004 Group level service (Home Group: Co-Chair, Chair, Secretary, Public Information, GSR, Treasurer)
 - 2004 - 2007 Group level chair and treasurer (Na at Noon)
 - 2007 - 2012 Group level treasurer (Freedom Group)
 - 2013 - 2014 Group level treasurer (Fresh Start to Freedom)
- Provided written notice for absence from Area

○ **Chair, H&I: Julie N.**

- Service Resume
 - Clean Date: 11/18/2016
 - NA sponsor who has NA sponsor
 - Working the NA steps
 - Service: H&I, GSR Ladies' Night
 - Willingness to Serve
 - Never misappropriated funds

- **Group Announcements and Celebrations:**

- **Easy Does It** - Having group cook-out on Saturday, June 29th @ 5 pm
- **Hold on to Hope** - Danyelle W - 1yr on 6/3
- **It's Never Too Late** - Meets on Fridays at 7:30 pm at the Green & Gold Center (201 Watters St. Rome, GA 30161) and Sundays at 7:00 pm at Willingham Gym (560 Division St. Rome, GA 30165); Needs Support; Cleck C. - 15 yrs, Saleem S. - 10 yrs and Shirley E. - 9 yrs all on Sunday, 6/30, Food at 6 pm, meeting at 7 pm.
- **Living the Program** - Jessica B - 1yr on 8/1, Tom C - 31 yrs on 7/11
- **Mimosa** - Danielle - 2yrs on 6/30; Carl - 14yrs on 6/30
- **On the Right Track** - Kat B - 1yr on 6/25, Marleigh - 1yr on 6/30
- **Rose Group** - Jared - 2yrs on 6/5, Jordan - 3yrs on 6/5
- **Serenity on the Square** - Richard G - 3yrs on 6/5
- **Spiritual Awakenings** - Hailey W - 3yrs on 7/1; Rachel T - 8yrs on 7/1
- **Warriors in Recovery** - Brian R - 10yrs on 7/15
- **We Group** - Susan F - 35yrs on 7/11, Last meeting of month is speaker meeting
- **We Recover Together** - Abigail F. - 18 mos on 6/26; Chris B. 2 yrs on 6/29

Motion to Close: Austin B.

Seconded by: Lyz F.

Motion to acclamation: Chris E.

Second: Lyz F.

Closed at 4:34pm

NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED:

Sunday, June 30th at 2:00PM

BACK TO GROUPS

Elections to be voted on in the June ASC Meeting:

• Area Trusted Servant Elections

- Chair - Katey G
- Secretary - Chris B
- Alternate Secretary - Melissa P
- Treasurer - Felix L
- Alternate Treasurer - Richard G
- Chair, Public Relations - Chris E
- Chair, Activities - Christian J
- Chair, WSR - Louis B
- Chair, H&I - Julie N

Motions to be voted on in the June ASC Meeting:

• Area Inventory

- One additional month was granted to groups to spend more time on the questionnaire or provide additional feedback

Available Area Trusted Servant Positions:

• No Nominations for Following Positions:

- Vice-Chair
- Policy Parliamentarian
- Literature Distribution
- Alternate Literature Distribution

Refer to MASCNA Policy for cleantime/service requirements and duties for each position. Policy can be found at MariettaNA.org